## **Public Posting - Availability of Grants for Homelessness**

Date: August 7, 2024

The St. Clair County Continuum of Care (SCCCoC) announces the availability of grants to prevent and end homelessness through the US Department of Housing and Urban Development's "Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the FY 2024-FY 2025 Continuum of Care Competition." The CoC is open to, and it will accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program Grants. Organizations that have not received CoC funding in the past are encouraged to apply.

#### **NEW PROJECTS**

- New project types eligible for funding: (a) Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) (b) Permanent Housing Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH), (c) Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing components (TH-RRH), (d) HMIS, and (e) Coordinated Entry. (refer to the NOFO for detailed information about each project type)
- Projects dedicated to serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking that provide (a) Permanent Housing-Rapid Rehousing, (b) Joint Transitional Housing-Permanent Housing or (c) Support Services Only Coordinated Entry.

Applicants for new projects must submit a letter of intent, including project type and estimated amount of request, to Chris Anderson at <a href="mailto:Christina.Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us">Christina.Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us</a> by <a href="mailto:August 13">August 13</a>, <a href="mailto:2024">2024</a>. Agencies are urged to read the NOFO before submitting a letter of intent. To access the funding notice, go <a href="mailto:here">here</a>. All new projects applicants must complete an electronic application in e-snaps and the <a href="mailto:New Project Application Form">New Project Application Form</a> by September 17, 2024.

#### **RENEWAL PROJECTS**

- Applicants for renewal projects must indicate their intent to renew or voluntary reallocate funds by notifying Chris Anderson at <a href="mailto:Christina.Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us">Christina.Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us</a> by <a href="mailto:August 13">August 13</a>, <a href="mailto:2024">2024</a>.
- Renewal projects must complete their e-snaps applications and provide answers to four narrative
  questions and ten Housing First questions using the following Renewal Project Application Form by
  September 17, 2024

## **ALL PROJECTS**

The CoC uses an inclusive, objective review and ranking process to prioritize projects for funding. Applicants should review the attached scoring criteria to understand how the CoC will evaluate projects. The St. Clair County Intergovernmental Grants Department will notify all applicants of acceptance and ranking, rejection, or modification of their projects no later than October 3, 2024.

Please understand that HUD has specific requirements for applicants, including:

- The Rank & Review Committee will carefully review the qualifications of the applicant and the proposed project to assure that they meet HUD's threshold requirements.
- Projects that (1) are eligible; (2) meet HUD's threshold requirements; (3) demonstrate need; and (4) demonstrate organizational capacity, will be accepted, and ranked in priority order using the CoC's scoring criteria (included below).
- Individuals, for-profit organizations, and unincorporated entities are not eligible to apply.
- Applicant organizations must use the e-snaps system to submit their proposals. If interested, you are strongly urged to create an "Applicant Profile" in e-snaps immediately. Select this link to access the login page for *e-snaps*. Contact the CoC for help with this process.

Contact Christina Anderson at Christina. Anderson@co.st-clair.il.us for more information.

# St. Clair County Continuum of Care

# Renewal Project Scoring Tool

## Threshold Questions (Pass/Fail)

Category	Required Attachment	Received Yes/No
Evidence of Agency's Not-For- Profit Status	501(c)3 Letter	necessed respire
Agreement to Participate in Coordinated Entry	CoC Coordinated Entry Letter	
Match	Letter of Intent from Agency	
Most Recent Audit	Audited Financial Statement from last completed fiscal year	
Evidence of HMIS Participation (or comparable database)	CoC APR for prior program year	
Outstanding HUD Audit Findings	Audit Outcome Document	
HUD Monitoring Finding (within the last 2 years)	Documentation of Corrective Action Plan from HUD and related correspondence	
DHS Monitoring Finding (within the last 2 years)	Documentation of Corrective Action Plan from HUD and related correspondence	

## Project Supports the Needs of the CoC Community Criteria

Category	Scoring Ruberic		Source	Max	Score
Bed Utilization - percent of housing assets being utilized	100-88%	4 points	SAGE		
	87-75%	3 points		4	
	74-62%	2 points			
	61-51%	1 point			
	>/= 50%	0 points			

# Project Complies with CoC Policies and Procedures

Category	Sco	ring Ruberic	Source	Max	Score
Coordinated Entry, possess of	100-75%	5 points			
Coordinated Entry - percent of participants entering project with a	74-60%	3 points	HMIS	5	
referral from Coordinated Entry	59-10%	1 point	TIWII 3	,	
referral from coordinated entry	>/= 10%	0 points			
Adherence to Housing First Principles	1 point for each "yes" in a 10 question interview		Interview Attached to Project Application	10	
CoC Participation - percentage of	100 - 75%	2 points	Rosters & Meeting	_	
participation in CoC meetings	> 50%	0 points	Minutes	2	
Fund Management - percentage of allocated funds expended during the grant year	100%	3 points			
	99-85%	2 points	SAGE	3	
	84-70%	1 point	JAGE	,	
	> 70%	0 points			
Data Quality, percentage of	100%	3 points			
Data Quality - percentage of completeness of client level data in	99-94%	2 points	SAGE	3	
HMIS	93-89%	1 point	JAGL	,	
IIIVIS	> 89%	0 points			
	100-90%	5 points			
Permanent Housing Placement -	89-80%	4 points	]		
percentage of clients successfully	79-70%	3 points	SAGE	5	
exiting to permanent housing or sae housing when participating in	69-60%	2 points	SAGE	,	
DV projects	59-50%	1 point	]		
ov projeco	> 50%	0 points	]		

# System Performance Measures

Category	Ruberic		Source	Max	Score
	> 30 days	10 points	HMIS	10	
Length of Stay in Project *length	31-60 days	8 points			
of time between when a person	61-180 days	6 points			
enters a project and when they	181-365 days	4 points	HIVIIS	10	
move into a residence	366-730 days	2 points			
	> 730 days	0 points			

	<u>&gt;</u> 85%	10 points	]		
	84-75%	8 points			
Length of Stay in Project (TH Only)	74-65%	6 points			
*percentage of persons in the	64-55%	4 points	HMIS	10	
project for 9 months or less	54-45%	2 points	]		
ĺ	49-25%	1 point	]		
l	< 25%	0 points	1		
	< 10%	5 points			
Return to Homelessness -	11-40%	4 points	1		
percentage of clients that returned	41-50%	3 points	HMIS	5	
to homelessness after successfully	51-60%	2 points	HIVIIS		
exiting a project.	61-90%	1 point	]		
	> 90%	0 points			
	100-90%	10 points			
	89-69%	8 points			
Percentage of Adults with NEW or	68-48%	6 points	SAGE	10	
INCREASED earned income	47-27%	4 points	SAGE	10	
	26-11%	2 points	]		
	< 10%	1 point			
	100-50%	5 points			
[	49-38%	4 points			
Percentage of Adults with NEW or	37-26%	3 points	SAGE	5	
INCREASED other income	25-14%	2 points	] SAGE		
	13-6%	1 point	]		
	<u>&lt;</u> 5%	0 points	<u> </u>		

## Severity of Needs Criteria

Category		Ruberic	Source	Max	Score
	>80%	4 points			
Percentage of Adults with No or	79-59%	3 points			
Low Income	58-38%	2 points	SAGE	4	
Low Income	37-21%	1 point			
	<20%	0 points			
	>80%	4 points			
	79-59%	3 points			
rcentage of Adults with mental illne	58-38%	2 points	SAGE	4	
[	37-21%	1 point			
	<u>&lt; 20%</u>	0 points			
	> 60%	4 points			
Percentage of Adults with Alcohol	59-39%	3 points			
I - I	38-18%	2 points	SAGE	4	
and/or Drug Abuse	17-5%	1 point			
	≤5%	0 points			

	60%	4 points			
Percentage of clients that were	59-39%	3 points			
Chronically Homeless when they	38-18%	2 points	SAGE	4	
entered the project	1-17%	1 point			
	<u>&lt;</u> 5%	0 points			
	60%	4 points			
Percentage of Percent with History	59-39%	3 points			
Percentage of Persons with History or Fleeing DV	38-18%	2 points	SAGE	4	
	17-5%	1 point			
	< 5%	0 points			

# Racial Equity Factors - Narrative Responses

Plan to incorporate Lived Experience Feedback	Response clearly defines an established p feedback from persons with lived experie examples of change in program operation 15 points	nce and cites specific
	Response shows basic understanding of need for feedback and openness to incorporate change = 7 points	
	Response indicates agency has not attem feedback or implement changes based or points	
	Max Score:	15
	Point(s) Awarded:	

	Applicant's plan clearly defines how prog persons have equal access to services = 1		
Plan to Ensure Racial Equity in Service Delivery	Plan shows basic understanding of potential barriers facing persons of color without specific plan to overcome barriers = 7 points		
	Plan is vague and poorly-structured, or information is missing = 0 points		
	Max Score:	15	
Point(s) Awarded:			

	Total Project	ct Score		
	Max Sc	ore	14	<b>47</b>
More t	han 20%	0 points		
		1 point		
		2 points	<del> </del> 5	
	_	•	1 _	
·	_	•	1	
		•		
		•		
		•		
		•	5	
			-	
	_	•		
100-98% without h		5 noints	IVIGA	30016
	Point(s) Awarded:		Max	Score
	Max Score:	15		
missing = 0 points				
Plan is vague and p	oorly-structured, or in	formation is		
safety planning and	l resource linkages = 7	points		
Plan shows basic ur	nderstanding of the im	portance of		
with community res	sources – 13 points			
•		ing and linked		
1	• • •			
	all persons are assi with community re  Plan shows basic usafety planning and plan is vague and plansing = 0 points  100-98% without bases are to see the second plansing = 0 points  100-98% without bases are to see the second plansing = 0 points  100-98% without bases are to sec	all persons are assisted with safety plann with community resources = 15 points  Plan shows basic understanding of the imsafety planning and resource linkages = 7  Plan is vague and poorly-structured, or inmissing = 0 points  Max Score:  Point(s) Awarded:  100-98% without budget modifications 3-4% return of funds 5-8% return of funds 9-11% return of funds 13-14% return of funds > 15% return of funds Equal to or below CoC average Within 5% average Within 10% average Within 15% average Within 20% average More than 20%  Max Sc	Plan shows basic understanding of the importance of safety planning and resource linkages = 7 points  Plan is vague and poorly-structured, or information is missing = 0 points  Max Score: 15  Point(s) Awarded:  100-98% without budget modifications 5 points 3-4% return of funds 4 points 5-8% return of funds 3 points 9-11% return of funds 2 points 13-14% return of funds 1 point > 15% return of funds 0 points Equal to or below CoC average 5 points Within 5% average 4 points Within 10% average 3 points Within 15% average 2 points Within 20% average 1 point	all persons are assisted with safety planning and linked with community resources = 15 points  Plan shows basic understanding of the importance of safety planning and resource linkages = 7 points  Plan is vague and poorly-structured, or information is missing = 0 points  Max Score: 15  Point(s) Awarded: Max  100-98% without budget modifications 5 points 3-4% return of funds 4 points 5-8% return of funds 3 points 9-11% return of funds 2 points 13-14% return of funds 1 point > 15% return of funds 0 points  Equal to or below CoC average 5 points Within 5% average 4 points Within 10% average 3 points Within 10% average 2 points Within 15% average 1 point More than 20% 0 points  Max Score 14

#### St. Clair County Continuum of Care **New Project Scoring Tool** Threshold Questions (Pass/Fail) **Required Attachment** Received Yes/No Evidence of Agency's Not-For-501(c)3 Letter Profi<u>t Status</u> Agreement to Participate in CoC Coordinated Entry Letter and HMIS (or comparable database) Coordinated Entry/HMIS Match Letter of Intent from Agency Most Recent Audit Audited Financial Statement from last completed fiscal year Evidence of HMIS Participation (or CoC APR for prior program year **Project Supports the Needs of the CoC Community Criteria** Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Critical Shortage 4 points Project Type - Need for various **HUD Annual CoC** Shortage 3 points project type in the CoC based on Population and the demographics of the homeless Subpopulation 4 Saturation 2 points population and subpopulation Report, and Project groups application Over-Saturation 1 point Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Critical Shortage 4 points Project Location - geographical Project Application Shortage 3 points area served by the various project and United States Saturation 2 points Census Data type Over-Saturation 1 point **Scoring Ruberic** Category Source Max Score Critical Shortage 4 points **HUD Annual CoC** 3 points Population Served - subpopulation Shortage Population and group served within the CoC by Saturation 2 points Subpopulation Report, and Project project type application 1 point Over-Saturation Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Housing First - barriers to program Interview attached to 1 Point for each "yes" in a 10 question interview 10 entry requirements project application Category **Scoring Ruberic** Source Max Score Rapid Rehousing - Increase of RRH Rapid Rehousing Project 1 Point Project Application beds in the CoC 0 Points Non-Rapid Rehousing Project **Scoring Ruberic** Max Score Source Permanent Supportive Housing -Fits needs 1 Point Housing type fits the needs of Project Application Does not fit needs 0 Points target population **Scoring Ruberic** Max Score Category Source Mainstream Services - plans to Engages mainstream services 1 Point connect and engage with Project Application 1 mainstream services Does not engage mainstream services 0 Points **Scoring Ruberic** Max Score Category Source Membership 1 Point CoC Membership- partnership or Attendance Rosters 1 engagement with Coc and Meeting Minutes Non-Membership 0 Points Page **7** of **9**

Category		uberic	Source	Max	Score
	experience, details of plans, and impact on Systems Performance	4 points			
Plan gives detailed consideration pas experience, details of plans, and impact on Systems Performance Measures Plan gives a sufficient consideration of persons who obtain and retain permanent housing  Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague don System Performance Measures Plan mentions that there is a plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to decrease returns to homelessness Response mentions a plan to decrease returns to homelessness Plan shows basic understanding of the resource linkages = 3 points Plan shows basic understanding of the resource linkages = 3 points Plan sh	3 points	Project Application			
persons who obtain and retain	past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance	2 points	Project Application	4 Max 4 Max 4	
	Plan gives detailed consideration past experience, details of plans, and impact on Systems Performance Measures Plan gives a sufficient consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a sufficient consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan gives a vague consideration of past experience, details of plans, and impact on System Performance Measures Plan mentions that there is a plan to help participants obtain and retain permanent housing  Scoring Ruberic  Response gives detailed plan to increase cash income Response gives a surficient plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to increase cash income Response gives a detailed plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase cash income Response gives a vague plan to increase returns to homelessness Response gives a vague plan to increase returns to homelessness Response gives a vague plan to increase returns to homelessness Plan is vague and poorly-structured, or information is missing = 0 points  Severity of Needs Criteria  Project Application Response gives a vague plan to increase returns to homelessness Response gives a vague plan to increase returns to homelessness Response gives a vague plan to increase returns to homelessness Response gives a vague plan to increase returns to homelessness Response gives a vague plan to increase re				
Category	Scoring Ro	uberic	Source	Max	Score
Cook brown Cookb Desirable	1 -	4 points			
plan to increase the percentage of		3 points	Project Application	4	
employment and non-		2 points	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4 Max 4 Max 4	
		1 point			
Category		uberic	Source	Max	Score
	Response gives a detailed plan to	4 points			
	Response gives a sufficient plan to decrease number persons who return to melessness after exiting the decrease returns to homelessness  Response gives a sufficient plan to decrease returns to homelessness  Response gives a vague plan to decrease returns to homelessness  Response gives a vague plan to decrease returns to homelessness				
homelessness after exiting the	Response gives a vague plan to	2 points	Project Application	4	
project	Response mentions a plan to decrease	1 point	]		
Category		uberic	Source	Max	Score
to ensure all clients are assisted with safety planning and connected to community	assisted with safety planning and linked 6points Plan shows basic understanding of the in resource linkages = 3 points	with community resources = mportance of safety planning and	Project Application	6	
	Soverity of Nec	ods Critoria	Source  Project Application  Source  Project Application  Source  Project Application  Project Application		
	Severity of Nee	eus Criteria			
Category	Scoring R	uberic	Source	Max	Score
Racial Equity - project's ability to	Response indicates focus on racial				
barriers experienced by persons of	decrease returns to homelessness	3 points	Project Application	4	
strategies to make it easier for	decrease returns to homelessness	2 points	-		
services	1 -	1 point			
Category		uberic	Source	Max	Score
Chronically Homeless - projects	Response indicates strong project focus and support of chronically homeless				
_	and support of chronically homeless	3 points	Project Application  Source  Source	4	
subpopulation	support of chronically homeless	2 points	_	Max  4  Max  4  Max	
	1	1 point			

**Improving System Performance Measures** 

Category	Scoring Ru	uberic	Source	Max	Score
	Response includes detailed plan to support the mentally anphysically disabled subpopulations	4 points			
Mentally and Physically Disabled- project focus on addressing the	Response includes a sufficient plan to support the mentally and physically disabled subpopulations	3 points	Project Application	4	
needs of the mentally and physically disabled subpopulation	Responses includes a vague plan to support the mentally and physically disabled subpopulations	2 points	Source Ma		
Respo the me subpo Category	Response mentions a plan top suppor the mentally and physically disabled subpopulations	1 point			
Category	Scoring Ru	uberic	Source	Max	Score
Lived Experience - Project's plan to incorporate feedback from	Response indicates a commitment and detailed plan to solicit and incorporate feedback from persons with lived experience	4 points			
persons with lived experience of homelessness into its policies and	Response gives a sufficient plan to solicit feedback	2 points	Project Application 4		
procedures.	Response gives vague plan to support households without children	0 points			
		_			
		Max Score		(	50
		Total Project S	core		

	FY2024 IL 508 Continuum of Care Competion Timeline
Target Date	Task
Completed	FY2023 CoC Program Registration Opens
Completed	FY 2023 CoC Program Registration Closed
Completed	Ranking System Updates approval by Board
Completed	GIW available on HUD exchange
Completed	Confirm/Update all e-Snaps Applicant Profiles (CoC and all current grantees)
7/31/2024	FY2024 NOFA Released
7/31/2024	CoC Program Competition Opens
8/05/2024	Project Applications available in esnaps
8/08/2024	Public Posting: CoC releases announcement of local competition for renewal and new projects; Eligibility, Deadlines, Ranking System
8/13/2024	Intent for Reapply due for all Renewal Projects due to CoC, including all requests for voluntary reallocation
8/13/2024	Notice of Intent for New Projects due to CoC, with project type and estimated dollar amounts
9/17/2024	Deadline: Renewal and New Project Applications Submisision Deadline
9/23/2024	Rank and Review Committee meets to score and rank project applications
10/01/2024	CoC Board meets to approve recommendation of the Rank and Review Committee
10/03/2024	Collaborative Applicant notifies applicants of all projects selected for ranking or rejected
10/08/2024	Deadline: Ranking appeals
10/09/2024	Public Posting: CoC releases ranking results to Board and to public
10/22/2024	Public Posting: CoC Application, Attachments, Priority Listing
10/22/2024	Email Notification to CoC of public posting
10/24/2024	Deadline: Final CoC, Priority List & Project Apps submitted to HUD
10/30/2024	NOFO Deadline